



IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH,
SHIMLA

CWP No. 18190/2025
Decided on: 25.11.2025

Raj Kumari

...Petitioner

Versus

State of H.P. & Ors.

....Respondents.

.....
Coram

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?¹

For the petitioner: Mr. M.A. Safee, Advocate.

**For the respondents: Mr. Y.P.S. Dhaulta, Additional
Advocate General.**

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, J

Notice. Mr. Y.P.S. Dhaulta, learned Additional
Advocate General, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

3. Petitioner's grievance is that her representation dated
17.10.2025 (Annexure P-6) seeking applicability of **Mohit Sharma
Vs. State of H.P. & Ors.**² has not been decided till date by the
respondents/competent authority. Learned counsel for the petitioner
submitted that the petitioner would be content, in case,
respondents/competent authority are directed to consider and decide
the aforesaid representation of the petitioner, in accordance with law,

¹ Whether reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? yes

²CWP No. 1638 of 2024, decided on 29.11.2024



within a time bound manner. Learned Additional Advocate General has no objection to this prayer.

Having regard to the above submissions, but without examining the merits of the matter, the instant writ petition is disposed of by directing the respondents/competent authority to consider and decide the aforesaid representation of the petitioner, in accordance with law and as well as taking into consideration the above judgment in case of *Mohit Sharma*², within a period of six weeks from today. The order so passed be also communicated to the petitioner. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

25th November, 2025_(rohit)