



2025:HHC:40093

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No.18331 of 2025

Date of Decision: 26.11.2025

Sh. Basakhu Ram	Petitioner
Versus	
State of Himachal Pradesh & Others	Respondents

Coram:

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sandeep Sharma, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting? ¹

For the Petitioner: Ms. Babita Chauhan, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. Rajan Kahol, Additional Advocate General.

Sandeep Sharma, Judge (oral):

Learned counsel representing the petitioner, on instructions, states that the petitioner's case is squarely covered by the judgment dated 22.02.2022 passed by Full Bench of this Court in CWP No.2711 of 2017, titled as ***Baldev vs. State of Himachal Pradesh and Others*** and as such, petitioner would be content and satisfied in case directions are issued to the respondents to consider and decide the case of the petitioner in light of aforesaid judgment, in a time bound manner.

2. Mr. Rajan Kahol, learned Additional Advocate General, while putting appearance on behalf of the respondents, fairly states that he is not averse to aforesaid innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioner.

¹Whether the reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?



3. Consequently, in view of the above, this Court, without going into the merits of the case, deems it fit to dispose of the present petition with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the case of the petitioner expeditiously, preferably within a period of six weeks in light of *Baldev Singh* (supra). Ordered accordingly. Needless to say, authority concerned, while doing the needful in terms of instant order, shall afford an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and pass a speaking order thereupon. Liberty is reserved to the petitioner to file appropriate proceedings in appropriate court of law, if he stills remain aggrieved.

Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

**(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge**

November 26, 2025
(Rajeev Raturi)