

**Shri Madan Lal Vs. State of H.P. & Ors.  
CWP No. 613 of 2025.**

**11.03.2026** Present: Mr. Prem Chand Verma, Advocate, with M/s Aakash Thakur and Varun Thakur, Advocates, for the petitioner.

Mr. Pushpinder Jaswal, Additional Advocate General, for respondents No.1 to 3.

Respondents No.4, 5, 7 & 8 are proceeded against *exparte*.

Mr. Y.K. Thakur, Advocate, with Mr. Bhanu Verma, Advocate, for the applicant/proposed respondent.

**CMP No. 3899 of 2026**

By way of this application, the applicant prays that he has independently filed a writ petition praying for promotion against the post of Junior Engineer (Mechanical), but in the course of hearing of the said writ petition, details whereof are given in the application, it transpired that there was another case pending i.e. the present case, in which stay order stood passed qua the posts of Junior Engineer (Mechanical).

Learned counsel for the applicant submits that in light of the fact that as adjudication in the present case would affect the applicant, therefore, he has filed the present application with the prayer that as he is the necessary party in the petition, he may be allowed to join the proceedings.

Having heard learned counsel for the applicant and learned counsel for the petitioner and having perused the

averments made in the application, as this Court is of the considered view that the applicant is a necessary party, as any adjudication in the writ petition may have bearing on his promotional avenues, the application is allowed. The applicant is ordered to be impleaded as respondent No.9.

Application stands disposed of accordingly.

**CMP No. 3900 of 2026**

Notice. Mr. Prem Chand Verma, learned counsel, accepts notice on behalf of the non-applicant/petitioner. As prayed for, reply to the application be filed, within a period of two weeks.

**CWP No. 613 of 2025**

Correct amended memo of parties by filed by the newly impleaded respondent No.9, within a period of two weeks.

A communication has been received from the Registrar, HPPWD, Shimla, relevant portion thereof reads as under:-

*"Kindly refer to your notice dated Nil issued in favour of Sh. Ramesh Kumar S/o Sh. Mansa Ram Technician - HPPWD through Engineer-in-Chief HPPWD Shimla in CWP No 613 of 2025-B titled as Madan Lal Vs State of HP and others on the subject cited above.*

*Simultaneously the notice was served to Sh. Ramesh Komar S/o Sh. Mansa Ram Jr. Technician*

*(Mechanical) through the Executive Engineer HPPWD Mechanical Division Dharamshala twice which has been received back undelivered that no such person in the address. Thereafter, the incumbency of the department was scrutinized and found that Sh. Raman Kumar S/o Sh. Mansa Ram, Jr. Technician (Mechanical) (D.O.B 05.04.1968) is presently working in HPPWD Mechanical Division Dharamshala instead of Sh. Ramesh Kumar S/o Sh. Mansa Ram.*

*It is therefore, requested that the notice may kindly be issued in favour of Sh. Raman Kumar S/o Sh. Mansa Ram, Jr. Tech. Mechanical instead of Sh. Ramesh Kumar, so that same can be delivered to him.”*

In light of this communication, let fresh steps be taken by the petitioner for the service of Raman Kumar son of Sh. Mansa Ram, Junior Technician (Mechanical), who is presently working in HPPWD Mechanical Division, Dharamshala.

Let dasti notice, as prayed for, be taken for the service of Mr. Raman Kumar, returnable for **01.04.2026**. Steps be taken for the service of said respondent, within a period of two days. Notice be handed over to learned counsel for the petitioner by 16.03.2026.

**(Ajay Mohan Goel)**  
**Judge**

March 11, 2026  
(Shivank Thakur)