



IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No.18133 of 2025

Decided on: 24th November, 2025

Aman Kumar

.....**Petitioner**

Versus

Himachal Road Transport Corporation and another

.....**Respondents**

Coram

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua

Whether approved for reporting?¹

For the Petitioner: Mr. Hemant Kumar Thakur, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. Vinod Gupta. Advocate.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge

Notice. Mr. Vinod Gupta, learned counsel, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of respondents.

2. With the consent of learned counsel for the parties, the matter is heard at this stage.

3. Petitioner's grievance is that his representation dated 01.06.2025 (Annexure P-2) seeking applicability of ***Rattan Lal versus Himachal Road Transport Corporation and others²*** has not been decided till date by the respondents/competent authority. Learned counsel for

¹ Whether reporters of print and electronic media may be allowed to see the order? Yes.

² CWP No.1910 of 2021, decided on 16.06.2021.



the petitioner submitted that the petitioner would be content in case the respondents/competent authority(s) are directed to consider and decide the aforesaid representation in accordance with law within a fixed-time schedule. Learned Additional Advocate General is not averse to this prayer.

4. Having regard to the afore-submissions, but without examining the merits of the matter, this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents/competent authority to consider and decide the aforesaid representation of the petitioner dated 01.06.2025 (Annexure P-2) in accordance with law as well as taking into consideration the above judgment in the case of **Rattan Lal²** within a period of six weeks from today. The decision so arrived at shall also be communicated to the petitioners.

The writ petition stands disposed of in the above terms, so also the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any.

November 24, 2025
yogesh

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge