



IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No.18273 of 2025

Date of Decision: 26.11.2025

Vishan Singh		Petitioner
	Versus	
State of H.P. and Others		Respondents

Coram:

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sandeep Sharma, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting? ¹

For the Petitioner: Mr. Gambhir Singh Chauhan, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. Rajan Kahol & Mr. Vishal Panwar, Additional Advocates General, with Mr. Ravi Chauhan, Deputy Advocate General, for State.

Sandeep Sharma, Judge (oral):

By way of instant petition, petitioner has prayed for following main relief:

"I. That the respondents may very kindly be directed to grant the benefit of Higher stage of pay to the petitioner of Rs.30,500/- w.e.f. 06.01.205 i.e., after completion of two years of regular service along with all consequential benefits including arrears.

II. That the respondents may very kindly be directed to extend the benefits of judgment dated 29.11.2024 in CWP No.1638/2024 tilted as Mohit Sharma & Anr. Versus State of H.P. and Ors., with all consequential benefits;"

2. Before notices, if any, could be issued in the instant proceedings, learned counsel representing the petitioner, while inviting attention of this Court to judgment passed by Coordinate Bench this Court in CWP No. 1638 of 2024 titled *Mohit Sharma & Anr. Vs. State of*

¹Whether the reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?



Himachal Pradesh & Ors., states that issue raised in the instant proceedings already stands adjudicated by Coordinate Bench this Court in *Mohit Sharma* (supra) and as such, petitioner would be content and satisfied in case directions are issued to the respondents to consider the representation of the petitioner in light of aforesaid judgment in a time bound manner.

3. While putting in appearance on behalf of respondents, Mr. Ravi Chauhan, learned Deputy Advocate General, states that he is not averse to aforesaid innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioner and representation, if any, filed by the petitioner shall be considered and decided expeditiously.

4. Consequently, in view of the above, this Court, without going into the merits of the case, deems it fit to dispose of the present petition with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the representation of the petitioner (Annexure P-1) in light of *Mohit Sharma* (supra), expeditiously, preferably within a period of four weeks. Ordered accordingly. Needless to say, authority concerned, while doing the needful in terms of instant order, shall afford an opportunity of being heard to the petitioner and pass speaking order thereafter.

Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

**(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge**

November 26, 2025
(Rajeev Raturi)