

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

Execution Petition No. 210 of 2025

Date of Decision: 28.11.2025

Kanta Khatri

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Himachal Pradesh & others

... Respondents

Coram:

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sandeep Sharma, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting? ¹

For the Petitioner: Mr. Manohar Lal Sharma, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. Rajan Kahol and Mr. Vishal Panwar, Additional Advocate Generals and Mr. Ravi Chauhan and Mr. Anish Banshtu, Deputy Advocate General.

Sandeep Sharma, Judge(oral):

By way of instant Execution Petition, prayer has been made on behalf of the petitioner for issuance of directions to the respondents to implement/ execute the order/judgment dated 26.05.2023 passed by this Court in CWPOA No.4612 of 2020, titled **Nar Dass(deceased) through LRs vs. State of Himachal Pradesh and others.**

2. Careful perusal of aforesaid order/judgment, sought to be executed in the instant proceedings, reveals that this Court, while allowing of the petition, directed the respondents to grant work charge status to the petitioner from the date he completed eight years service as daily wager in the department alongwith consequential benefits. Since, despite there being specific direction to do the needful, as

¹Whether the reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?

taken note hereinabove, respondents have failed to do so, petitioner has approached this Court in the instant proceedings.

3. Mr. Rajan Kahol, learned Additional Advocate General, while accepting notice on behalf of the respondents, states that though he has every reason to believe and presume that by now aforesaid judgment/ order must have been complied with, but if not, same would be complied with within a period of three weeks from today.

4. Consequently, in view of the fair statement made by learned Additional Advocate General, this Court sees no reason to keep the present petition alive and as such, same is accordingly disposed of with the direction to the respondents to do the needful in terms of order/judgment dated 26.05.2023 passed by this Court, positively within a period of three weeks, if not already done, failing which, petitioner would be at liberty to get the present proceedings revived, so that appropriate action, in accordance with law, is taken towards implementation of the judgment/ order, sought to be executed in the instant proceedings.

**(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge**

November 28, 2025
(shankar)