



2025:HHC:39676

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No.17690 of 2025

Decided on: 24th November, 2025

Vikrant

.....**Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and others

.....**Respondents**

Coram

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua

Whether approved for reporting?¹

For the Petitioner: Mr. Vinay Sharma, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. Rajat Choudhry, Assistant Advocate General, for respondents No.1 to 3.

Mr. Vikrant Thakur, Advocate, for respondent No.4.

Ms. Anjali Soni Verma, Advocate, for respondent No.5.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge

Learned Assistant Advocate General has placed on record instructions dated 22.11.2025, conveying due service upon respondent No.5, who otherwise is now represented by Ms. Anjali Soni Verma, learned counsel.

2. Gist of petitioner's grievance was noticed in the following order passed in this writ petition on 17.11.2025:-

"3. Petitioner's main grievance is that his height was 178.2 cm. (5 ft. 10 inches) and thus was entitled to four

¹Whether reporters of print and electronic media may be allowed to see the order? Yes.



marks under the recruitment process undertaken by respondent No.4 for the post of constable in terms of the height parameters, whereas, the respondents have according him 3 marks.

4. Let the respondents file reply/place on record instructions by the next date.

List on 22.11.2025.

CMP No.27432 of 2025

5. The application is disposed of with the order that appointment, if any, in the meanwhile of respondent No.5, shall abide by the outcome of the writ petition.”

3. Pursuant thereto, the respondents placed on record office instructions dated 21.11.2025. These instructions convey that the petitioner had also appeared in the previous recruitment process conducted in the year 2021, wherein he was awarded 04 marks for his height, but in the present recruitment, the petitioner has been awarded 03 marks. The instructions further convey that since injustice was indeed meted out to the petitioner, therefore, the respondent-Police Department will take necessary steps to ensure that he gets justice. Relevant portion of the instructions reads as under:-

“3. In this regard, it is submitted that after perusal of the contents of the writ petition and as per the available record it has been observed that the petitioner appeared in the previous recruitment in the year 2021 and he was awarded 4 marks for height but in the present recruitment the petitioner has been awarded 3 marks.

4. Keeping in view of the above facts, it is apparent that an injustice has been done with the petitioner. Police Department assures that necessary steps will be taken in consultation with the Government of H.P. to ensure that justice is done with the aggrieved petitioner.”



4. Learned Assistant Advocate General submits that the petitioner be treated to have been given 04 marks in lieu of his height.

5. In view of above, let the respondent-Police Department to take appropriate steps for assigning correct marks in lieu of height of the petitioner and convey the same to respondent No.4-H.P. Public Service Commission within three days, whereafter, respondent No.4 shall revise petitioner's result and do the needful in terms thereof within three days thereafter. All consequences shall follow.

The writ petition stands disposed of in the above terms, so also the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any.

November 24, 2025
Mukesh

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge