

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH,
SHIMLA**

CWP No. 18474/2025

Decided on: 28.11.2025

Rakesh Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of H.P. & Ors.

....Respondents.

.....
Coram

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?¹

For the petitioner:

**Mr. Vaninder Kumar, Advocate,
vice counsel.**

For the respondents:

**Mr. Rajat Choudhary, Assistant
Advocate General.**

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, J

Notice. Mr. Rajat Choudhary, learned Assistant Advocate General, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

3. Petitioner's grievance is that his representation dated 19.10.2025 (Annexure P-5) seeking applicability of **Mohit Sharma Vs. State of H.P. & Ors.**² has not been decided till date by the respondents/competent authority. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner would be content, in case, respondents/competent authority are directed to consider and decide the aforesaid representation of the petitioner, in accordance with law,

¹ Whether reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? yes

²CWP No. 1638 of 2024, decided on 29.11.2024

within a time bound manner. Learned Assistant Advocate General has no objection to this prayer.

Having regard to the above submissions, but without examining the merits of the matter, the instant writ petition is disposed of by directing the respondents/competent authority to consider and decide the aforesaid representation of the petitioner, in accordance with law and as well as taking into consideration the above judgment in case of *Mohit Sharma*², within a period of six weeks from today. The order so passed be also communicated to the petitioner. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

28th November, 2025_(rohit)