

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA****CWP No.18207 of 2025****Date of Decision: 25.11.2025**

Shri Suneel Kumar		Petitioner
	Versus	
State of Himachal Pradesh & Ors.		Respondents

Coram**Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sandeep Sharma, Judge.****Whether approved for reporting?****For the Petitioner:** Mr. Pranav Kaushal, Advocate.**For the respondents:** Mr. Vishal Panwar, Additional Advocate General with Mr. Ravi Chauhan, Deputy Advocate General.

Sandeep Sharma, J. *(Oral)*

Before reply, if any, from the respondents could be received, learned counsel representing the petitioner, while inviting attention of this Court to judgment passed by Coordinate Bench of this Court in CWP No. 1638 of 2024 titled **Mohit Sharma & Anr. Vs. State of Himachal Pradesh & Ors.**, states that issue raised in the instant proceedings already stands adjudicated by Coordinate Bench of this Court in **Mohit Sharma** (*supra*) and as such, petitioner would be content and satisfied in case directions are issued to the respondents to consider and decide his representation in light of aforesaid judgment in a time bound manner.

2. While putting in appearance on behalf of respondents, Mr. Vishal Panwar, learned Additional Advocate General, states that he is



not averse to aforesaid innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioner with regard to disposal of his representation.

3. Consequently, in view of the above, this Court, without going into the merits of the case, deems it fit to dispose of the present petition with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the representation of the petitioner (*Annexure P-3*) in light of **Mohit Sharma** (*supra*), expeditiously, preferably within a period of six weeks from today. Ordered accordingly. Needless to say, authority concerned, while doing the needful in terms of instant order, shall afford an opportunity of being heard to the petitioner and pass speaking order thereafter. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

November 25, 2025
(*sunil*)

(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge