

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA****CWP No.17159 of 2025****Decided on: 4th November, 2025**-----
Bidhi Chand and another**.....Petitioners****Versus**

State of H.P. and others

.....Respondents
-----**Coram****Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua****Whether approved for reporting?¹**

For the Petitioners: Ms. Vandana Thakur, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. Anup Rattan, Advocate General
with Mr. Vishal Panwar, Additional
Advocate General.-----
Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge

Notice. Mr. Vishal Panwar, learned Additional Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents.

2. With the consent of learned counsel for the parties, the matter is heard at this stage.

3. Petitioners seek applicability of ***Mohit Sharma & Anr. Versus State of H.P. & Ors.***². It is, however, seen from the record that the petitioners have not preferred any

¹ Whether reporters of print and electronic media may be allowed to see the order? Yes.

² CWP No.1638 of 2024, decided on 29.11.2024



representation for the redressal of their grievances raised in the writ petition.

4. Confronted with above, learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners would be preferring a representation(s) within two weeks from today. In case such a representation(s) is so made, the same shall be decided by the competent authority in accordance with law as well as taking into consideration the above judgment within a period of six weeks thereafter. The order so passed shall also be communicated to the petitioners.

The writ petition stands disposed of in the above terms, so also the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any.

November 04, 2025
Mukesh

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge