

NHAI versus Dina Nath and Another

OMP(M) No. 2 of 2025

09.04.2026	Present:	Ms. Shreya Chauhan, Advocate, for the applicant.
		Mr. Suneet Verma, Advocate vice Mr. Varun Rana, Advocate for the respondents.

Rejoinder not filed despite opportunities granted. Further adjournment for this purpose is not justifiable, as such, the right of the applicant to file the rejoinder is closed by the order of the Court.

From the pleadings of the parties, the following issues were framed:-

- 1) Whether the applicant is able to make out a case, which, falls within the definition of 'sufficient cause' for condonation of delay in filing the accompanying appeal? OPA
- 2) Whether the applicant has concealed the material facts and has made false and incorrect assertions, in the application? OPR
- 3) Relief.

The issues so framed, are read over and explained to the parties. No other issue is claimed, pressed or made out. Onus is not objected to. Now, the case be listed before the learned Additional Registrar (Judicial), for fixing the date for the applicant's evidence. Steps be taken by both the parties, within 15 days, failing

which, no assistance from the Court shall be given to the defaulting party.

(Virender Singh)
Judge

April 09, 2026 (ps)