



IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH,
SHIMLA

CWP No. 17154/2025
Decided on: 04.11.2025

Hem Raj & Ors.

...Petitioners

Versus

State of H.P. & Ors.

....Respondents.

.....
Coram

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?¹

For the petitioners: Mr. Naresh Kaul, Advocate.

**For the respondents: Mr. Y.P.S. Dhaulta, Additional
Advocate General.**

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, J

Notice. Mr. Y.P.S. Dhaulta, learned Additional
Advocate General, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

2. Petitioners' grievance is that their representation dated
14.09.2025 (Annexure P-4) seeking applicability of **Sanjay Kumar
Vs. State of H.P. & Ors.**² has not been decided till date by the
respondents/competent authority. Learned counsel for the petitioners
submitted that the petitioners would be content, in case,
respondents/competent authority are directed to consider and decide
the aforesaid representation of the petitioners, in accordance with

¹ Whether reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? yes

²CWPOA No.5536 of 2020 a/w connected matters decided on 01.11.2023



law, within a time bound manner. Learned Additional Advocate General has no objection to this prayer.

Having regard to the above submissions, but without examining the merits of the matter, the instant writ petition is disposed of by directing the respondents/competent authority to consider and decide the aforesaid representation of the petitioners, in accordance with law as well as taking into consideration the above judgment in case of *Sanjay Kumar*², within a period of six weeks from today. The order so passed be also communicated to the petitioners. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

04th November, 2025_(rohit)