



2025:HHC:37023

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

**CWP No.17091 of 2025 alongwith
connected matters**

Decided on: 4th November, 2025

1. CWP No.17091 of 2025

Archana and others **.....Petitioners**

Versus

State of H.P. and others **.....Respondents**

2. CWP No.17092 of 2025

Joginder Singh and others **.....Petitioners**

Versus

State of H.P. and another **.....Respondents**

3. CWP No.17094 of 2025

Partap Singh and others **.....Petitioners**

Versus

State of H.P. and another **.....Respondents**

4. CWP No.17097 of 2025

Devinder Sharma and others **.....Petitioners**

Versus

State of H.P. and others **.....Respondents**

5. CWP No.17099 of 2025

Hem Raj and others **.....Petitioners**

Versus

State of H.P. and others **.....Respondents**

**6. CWP No.17100 of 2025**

Sunita Kumari and another

.....Petitioners**Versus**

State of H.P. and others

.....Respondents-----
Coram**Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua****Whether approved for reporting?¹**

For the Petitioners: Ms. Mamta Garg, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. Y.P.S. Dhaulta, Additional Advocate
General.-----
Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge

Notice. Mr. Y.P.S. Dhaulta, learned Additional Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents.

2. With the consent of learned counsel for the parties, the matters are heard at this stage.

3. Petitioners' grievance is that their representations dated 05.10.2025 (Annexure P-4) seeking applicability of ***Mohit Sharma & Anr. Versus State of H.P. & Ors.***² have not been decided till date by the respondents/competent authority. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners would be content

¹ Whether reporters of print and electronic media may be allowed to see the order? Yes.

² CWP No.1638 of 2024, decided on 29.11.2024



in case the respondents/competent authority(s) are directed to consider and decide the aforesaid representations in accordance with law within a fixed-time schedule. Learned Additional Advocate General is not averse to this prayer.

4. Having regard to the afore-submissions, but without examining the merits of the matters, these writ petitions are disposed of with a direction to the respondents/competent authority to consider and decide the aforesaid representations of the petitioners dated 05.10.2025 (Annexure P-4) in accordance with law as well as taking into consideration the above judgment in the case of **Mohit Sharma²** within a period of six weeks from today. The decision so arrived at shall also be communicated to the petitioners.

The writ petitions stand disposed of in the above terms, so also the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any.

November 04, 2025
Mukesh

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge