

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

Execution Petition No. 205 of 2025

Date of Decision: 24.11.2025

Dr. Kishori Lal Sharma (deceased) through LRs.

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Himachal Pradesh and Ors.

.....Respondents

Coram

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sandeep Sharma, Judge.
Whether approved for reporting?

For the Petitioners: Mr. S.P. Chatterji, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. Vishal Panwar, Additional Advocates General
and Mr. Ravi Chauhan, Deputy Advocate General.

Sandeep Sharma, J. *(Oral)*

By way of instant execution petition, prayer has been made on behalf of the petitioners for execution and implementation of judgment dated 28.06.2021, passed by this Court in CWPOA No.5902 of 2020, whereby this Court passed the following order:

“Consequently, in view of above, present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the case of the petitioner, in light of the judgment (supra), expeditiously, preferably within four weeks. Needless to say, respondents shall afford opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and shall pass a speaking order thereafter. Liberty is reserved to the petitioner to file appropriate proceedings in appropriate court, if he still remains aggrieved.

2. Since no action, whatsoever, came to be taken at the behest of the respondents pursuant to aforesaid direction, petitioners have approached this Court in the instant proceedings.

3. Mr. Vishal Panwar, learned Additional Advocate General, while putting in appearance on behalf of the respondents, states that though he has every reason to presume and believe that by now, order/judgment sought to be executed, must have been complied with in its totality, but if not, same would be definitely complied with within a period of two weeks from today.

4. Consequently, in view of fair stand adopted by the learned Additional Advocate General, this Court sees no reason to keep present petition alive and accordingly, same is disposed of with direction to the respondents to do the needful in terms of judgment sought to be executed, within a period of two weeks, failing which petitioners would be at liberty to get the present petition revived so that appropriate action in accordance with law is taken towards the implementation of the order/judgment.

November 24, 2025

(manjit)

**(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge**