

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

Execution Petition No. 2413 of 2026

Date of Decision: 08.10.2026

Kapil Bhardwaj	Versus	Petitioner
----------------	--------	-----------------

Himachal Pradesh Board of School Education		... Respondents
--	--	-----------------

Coram:

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sandeep Sharma, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting? ¹

For the Petitioner: Mr. Raj Thakur, Advocate.

For the Respondent: Ms. Richa Sharma, Advocate.

Sandeep Sharma, Judge(oral):

By way of instant Execution Petition, prayer has been made on behalf of the petitioner for issuance of directions to the respondents to implement/ execute the order/judgment dated 27.12.2024 passed by Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in CWP No. 15118 of 2024, titled **Kapil Bhardwaj vs. Himachal Pradesh Board of School Education.**

2. Careful perusal of aforesaid order/judgment, sought to be executed in the instant proceedings, reveals that Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, while disposing of the petition, directed the respondent to consider and decide the representation of the petitioner within a period of eight weeks. Since, despite there being specific direction to do the needful, as taken note hereinabove, respondent has failed to

¹Whether the reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?

do so, petitioner has approached this Court in the instant proceedings.

3. Ms. Richa Sharma, Advocate, while accepting notice on behalf of the respondent, states that though she has every reason to believe and presume that by now aforesaid judgment/ order must have been complied with, but if not, same would be complied with within a period of three weeks from today.

4. Consequently, in view of the fair statement made by learned counsel for the respondent, this Court sees no reason to keep the present petition alive and as such, same is accordingly disposed of with the direction to the respondents to do the needful in terms of order/judgment dated 27.12.2024 passed by Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, positively within a period of three weeks, if not already done, failing which, petitioner would be at liberty to get the present proceedings revived, so that appropriate action, in accordance with law, is taken towards implementation of the judgment/ order, sought to be executed in the instant proceedings.

**(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge**

October 08,2026
(shankar)