

Pushp Raj & others Vs. State of H.P. & Another.

CWP No. 17714 of 2025

25.11.2025 **Present:** Mr.Rakesh Kumar Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr.Raj Negi, Deputy Advocate General, for the respondents.

Learned Deputy Advocate General has placed on record instructions dated 24.11.2025 received from Director of School Education alongwith copy of Office Order dated 10.9.2025, whereby, though, it has been communicated that petitioners who were appointed on contract basis, have been considered as regular employee of the State in the pay scale of ₹10,300+34,800+4,200 Grade Pay+allowances as admissible from time to time from the date when they were/have initially appointed/joined on contract basis, with all consequential benefits vide order dated 10.9.2025, however, with rider in the order itself, whereby a direction has been issued to the concerned Principal/DDO to release the monetary benefits only from three years preceding the date of filing the petition, by referring some High Court orders, but without specifying any such order.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that though it has been purported that benefits have been extended to the petitioners, however, despite the fact that in

terms of provisions of statute and pronouncements of the Courts, petitioners are entitled for all consequential benefits as regular appointees from the first date of their initial appointment, actual monetary benefits have been restricted to 3 years only which is in defiance of settled law of the land.

Faced with aforesaid situation, learned Deputy Advocate General seeks time to file response to this issue. Be filed within two weeks, positively, failing which it shall be construed that respondents have nothing to say about the plea taken by the petitioners.

List for consideration on **16th December, 2025**.

***(Vivek Singh Thakur),
Judge.***

***(Romesh Verma),
Judge.***

25th November, 2025
(Keshav)