

Sandeep Kumar Vs. Dr. Parveen Gupta

COPC No. 1212/2025

23.03.2026 Present: Mr. Sparsh Bhushan, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Vijay Arora, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Dhiraj Thakur, Advocate for the respondent.

The present petition filed by the petitioner was allowed as under: -

“17. In result, the petition is allowed. Respondent is directed to allow the pay scale of Rs. 15600-39100+GP Rs. 6600/- to the petitioner from the date he was promoted as Law Officer and to place him at appropriate level of recently revised pay scales. The consequential arrears be paid to the petitioner within six months either in lumpsum or by way of installments, failing which, the respondent shall be liable to pay interest at the rate of 9% on unpaid arrears till its realization.”

2. In the reply filed to this contempt petition, the respondent–Member Secretary of the H.P. State Pollution Control Board has expressed inability to implement the judgment without seeking approval from the Service Committee.

3. It is not in dispute that the judgment dated 03.03.2025 has attained finality. The same has not been assailed by the respondents, therefore, the judgment has to be implemented in letter and spirit. It is not for the respondent to state that the

judgment is subject to the approval of the Service Committee.

At this stage, learned Senior Advocate for the respondent submits that the reply filed by the Member Secretary of the respondent-Board is not in accordance with law, therefore, he may be permitted to file a fresh reply towards compliance of the judgment in letter and spirit. The prayer so made is accepted. Fresh compliance affidavit/reply be filed positively within two weeks, failing which appropriate orders shall be passed in the matter on the next date.

List on **21.04.2026**.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

23rd March, 2026 (Rohit)