

Rakesh Kumar vs. State of H.P. & Ors.

CWP No. 16347 of 2026.

18.09.2026 Present: Mr. K.B. Khajuria, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Menka Raj Chauhan, Deputy Advocate General, for the respondents-State.

CWP No. 16347 & CMP No. 24331 of 2026.

Notice. Ms. Menka Raj Chauhan, learned Deputy Advocate General appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents.

Petitioner feels aggrieved against order dated 10.09.2026 transferring him from Police District Dehra to Shimla. Incumbency of the petitioner has been made available at page 5 of the paper book, which prima facie gives the inference that petitioner has been subjected to frequent transfers. Even if the stay at Dehra is construed from August 2024, petitioner has not completed three years. He though was transferred to Sansarpur in February 2025 and in September 2025 to Police District Dehra. Learned counsel for the petitioner has

also taken a ground that petitioner's transfer is not on the basis of any recommendations made by the Police Establishment Committee as provided under Section 56 of the HP Police Act, 2007.

In view of the above, impugned order dated 10.09.2026, Annexure P-1, shall remain stayed qua the petitioner till the next date of hearing.

Reply be filed by the next date.

List on 13.10.2026.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

18th September, 2026.
(Jai)