



2025:HHC:36909

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No.17089 of 2025

Decided on: 04.11.2025

Anjana Kumari and Ors

.....**Petitioners**

Versus

State of H.P. and Anr

.....**Respondents**

Coram

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua

Whether approved for reporting?¹

For the Petitioners: Mr. Vaibhav Tanwar, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. Rajan Kahol, Additional Advocate
General.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge

Notice. Mr. Rajan Kahol, learned Additional
Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on
behalf of the respondents.

2. With the consent of learned counsel for the
parties, the matter is heard at this stage.

3. Petitioners' grievance is that their
representations, dated 15.09.2025 (Annexure P-1 colly),
seeking applicability of **Mohit Sharma & Anr. Versus**

¹Whether reporters of print and electronic media may be allowed to see the order? Yes.



State of H.P. & Ors.² have not been decided till date by the respondents/competent authority. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners would be content in case the respondents/competent authority(s) are directed to consider and decide the aforesaid representations in accordance with law within a fixed-time schedule. Learned Additional Advocate General is not averse to this prayer.

4. Having regard to the afore-submissions, but without examining the merits of the matter, this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents/competent authority to consider and decide the aforesaid representations of the petitioners in accordance with law as well as taking into consideration the above judgment in the case of **Mohit Sharma**² within a period of six weeks from today. The decision so arrived at shall also be communicated to the petitioners.

The writ petition stands disposed of in the above terms, so also the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any.

November 4, 2025
R.Atal

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

² CWP No.1638 of 2024, decided on 29.11.2024