

Rajat Garg vs. H.P. Rajya Chayan Aayog & Ors.

CWP No. 16151 of 2026.

16.09.2026 Present: Mr. Kush Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Vishwajeet Singh, Advocate, for respondent No.1.

Mr. Rajat Chaudhary, Assistant Advocate General, for respondent No.2.

Notice, at this stage, confined to respondents No.1 and 2. Mr. Vishwajeet Singh, learned counsel and Mr. Rajat Chaudhary, learned Assistant Advocate General appear and waive service of notice on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2, respectively.

Petitioner is aggrieved against rejection of his candidature by respondent No. 1 for the post of Scientific Assistant (Digital Forensics) allegedly for want of essential qualifications. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is in possession of all essential qualifications as per the advertisement and applicable rules.

Let learned counsel for respondent No. 1 place on record instructions as to the essential qualifications lacked by the petitioner for which his candidature has been turned down as also the qualifications possessed by the selected candidate by the next date.

List on 21.09.2026.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

16th September, 2026.
(Jai)