



2025:HHC:40041

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

**CWP No.16350 of 2025 alongwith
connected matters**

Decided on: 26th November, 2025

1. CWP No.16350 of 2025

Jagdish Chand

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of H.P. and others

.....Respondents

2. CWP No.16352 of 2025

Neel Kamal

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of H.P. and others

.....Respondents

3. CWP No.16354 of 2025

Jai Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of H.P. and others

.....Respondents

Coram

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua

Whether approved for reporting?¹

For the Petitioners: Mr. Onkar Jairath, Mr. Anshul Jairath
and Mr. Piyush Mehta, Advocates.

For the Respondents: Mr. Y.P.S. Dhaulta, Additional Advocate
General, for respondents No.1 to 3-
State in all the matters.

¹Whether reporters of print and electronic media may be allowed to see the order? Yes.

**Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge**

Notice confined to respondents No.1 to 3, which is waived by Mr. Y.P.S. Dhaulta, learned Additional Advocate General.

In view of nature of order being passed hereinafter, neither notice of this petition is required to be issued to respondent No.4 nor reply is required to be called for from the appearing respondents.

2. With the consent of learned counsel for the parties, the matters are heard at this stage.

3. All these writ petitions have been filed for the grant of almost identical reliefs. The substantive relief in CWP No.16350 of 2025 reads as under:-

“A. That a writ in the nature of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order, or direction may kindly be issued, directing the Respondents to step up the basic pay of the Petitioner from Rs.52600/- to Rs.54200/- at par with his junior, Respondent No.4 namely Shri Gyar Singh Negi, w.e.f. 01.11.2017, in view of the law laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court of India in Union of India and Others v. Madhav Murti, (2022) 6 SCC 183, as well as the judgment passed by this Hon’ble Court in CWP No.2247 of 2022, Yatinder Nath Sharma v. State of Himachal Pradesh and Others, and further to grant all consequential benefits including refixation of pay in subsequent revisions, release of arrears of pay and allowances along with interest thereon, and protection of seniority, within such time as this Hon’ble Court may deem just and proper.”

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have preferred representations dated 18.08.2025 (Annexure P-5) to respondent No.2 for stepping

up of their pay at par with their junior-respondent No.4. Relief has been claimed on the strength of the decisions mentioned above, however, till date the said representations have not been decided. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioners would be satisfied in case respondent No.2/competent authority is directed to decide the aforesaid representations within a fixed time schedule. Learned Additional Advocate General is not averse to this prayer.

5. Having regard to the afore-submissions, but without examining the merits of the matters, these writ petitions are disposed of with direction to respondent No.2/competent authority to consider and decide the aforesaid representations of the petitioners dated 18.08.2025 (Annexure P-5) in accordance with law, keeping in view the above-decisions as also the decisions mentioned by the petitioners in their representations, within a period of six weeks from today. The decision so arrived at shall also be communicated to the petitioners.

The writ petitions stand disposed of in the above terms, so also the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any.

November 26, 2025
Mukesh

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge