



2025:HHC:34915

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No.16278 of 2025

Decided on: 15.10.2025

Sanjay Singh Thakur and Ors

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of H.P. and Anr

.....Respondents

Coram

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua

Whether approved for reporting?¹

For the Petitioners: Mr. R.L.Verma, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. Y.P.S. Dhaulta, Additional
Advocate General, for respondent No.1.

Mr. Shivank Singh Panta, Advocate, for
respondent No.2.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge

Notice. Mr. Y.P.S. Dhaulta, learned Additional
Advocate General and Mr. Shivank Singh Panta, learned
counsel, appear and waive service of notice on behalf of the
respective respondents.

2. This writ petition has been filed for grant of
following substantive prayer:-

*“i. Issue a writ of mandamus directing the
respondents to decide the representation*

¹Whether reporters of print and electronic media may be allowed to see the order? Yes.



(Annexure P-1) o and representation may kindly be ordered to be decided by passing speaking order, and petitioners be provided personal hearing.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have preferred a representation dated 27.05.2025 (Annexure P-1) with the prayer for reviewing and amending the Recruitment & Promotion Rules, 1985 (amended up to date, 2015) for providing benefits of promotion by increasing cadre strength in different trades of the respondent-Corporation.

Learned counsel further submits that the petitioners would be content in case respondents /competent authority is directed to decide the aforesaid representation within a fixed time schedule. Learned Additional Advocate General is not averse to this prayer.

4. Having regard to the afore-submissions, but without examining the merits of the matter, this writ petition is disposed of with direction to respondents/ competent authority to consider and decide the aforesaid representation of the petitioners in accordance with law within a period of eight weeks from today after affording due opportunity of hearing to the petitioners and other



stake holders, if considered necessary. The decision so arrived at, be also communicated to the petitioners.

The writ petition stands disposed of in the above terms, so also the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any.

October 15, 2025
R.Atal

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge