

Ajay Kumar (deceased) through LRs and others vs. Veena Devi and others alongwith connected matter.

RSA Nos. 295 & 298 of 2024

01.06.2026 Present: Ms. Ayushi Sharma, Advocate, Proxy Counsel, for the appellant(s).

Ms. Sneha Kaushal, Advocate, Proxy Counsel, for the respondents.

On 30.05.2025, following order was passed:

“CMP No.11967 of 2025 in RSA No.295 of 2024

From a perusal of the judgment passed by the first appellate Court, specifically case (Civil Appeal No.62-13 of 2013 titled Ajay Kumar (deceased) through LRs. vs. Veena Devi and others) at Page-25, it is evident that the names of legal heirs of deceased respondents No.2 and 3 had been ordered to be deleted vide order dated 13.12.2023 passed by the first appellate Court. In this respect, order passed by the first appellate Court has also been appended along-with present application. For the reasons stated in the application, the same is allowed. Names of legal heirs of deceased respondent No.2(i) to 2(iv) and 3(i) to 3(iii) are ordered to be deleted from the array of the parties. The application stands disposed of. Amended memo of parties be filed within four weeks. List thereafter.

RSA No.295 of 2024

The same changes be also made in the cause title of the present appeal.”

In the aforesaid order dated 30.05.2025, order was passed in CMP No.11967 of 2025 in RSA No.295 of 2024, when the order was passed in connected RSA i.e. RSA No. 298 of 2024 the number of RSA was mentioned as RSA No. 295 of 2024, however, it should be RSANo. 298 of 2024.

Consequently, the order dated 30.05.2025 is modified to the extent that the order “ The same changes be also made in the cause title of the present appeal.” be read as passed in RSA No. 298 of 2024 instead of RSA No. 295 of 2024.

(Romesh Verma)
Judge

June 1, 2026 (Nisha)