

**Ajay Kumar (deceased) through LRs and others vs. Veena
Devi and others a/w connected matter**

RSA No. 295 & 298 of 2024

18.03.2026 **Present:** Mr. Surinder Saklani, Advocate, for the appellants, in both the appels

Ms. Shwetima Dogra, Advocates, vice Mr. Vinod Gupta, Advocate, for respondent No.1.

Mr. Jagmohan Singh Chandel, Advocate, for respondents No. 3(i) to 3(iii).

Respondents No. 2(i)to 2(iv) proceeded against ex parte vide order dated 12.03.2025

Heard.

Admit on the following substantial questions of law:

a. Whether both the learned Courts below have not considered exhibit PW2/A to the extent whether it is made voluntarily and have returned no finding on the same thereby vitiating the impugned judgments and decrees?

b. Whether the impugned judgment and decree in appeal passed by the learned First Appellate Court affirming the judgment and decree of learned Trial Court is result of misreading and misinterpretation of the evidence on record and law applicable in the matter and resultant findings recorded and conclusions drawn are illegal, incorrect and perverse, thereby vitiating the impugned judgments and decrees?

Record of the Courts below be requisitioned.

List in due course.

**(Romesh Verma)
Judge**

March 18, 2026(veena)