



2025:HHC:34916

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No.16276 of 2025

Decided on: 15.10. 2025

Sunil Kumar Sharma

.....**Petitioner**

Versus

HPSEBL and Anr.

.....**Respondents**

Coram

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua

Whether approved for reporting?¹

For the Petitioner: Mr. Rakesh K Sharma, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. Anil Kumar, Advocate.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge

Notice. Mr. Anil Kumar, learned counsel, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents.

2. With the consent of learned counsel for the parties, the matter is heard at this stage.

3. Petitioner's grievance is that his representation dated 31.08.2025(Annexure P-9) seeking applicability of **Umesh Jaswal Vs. State of HP and Ors²** has not been decided till date by the respondents/competent authority.

¹Whether reporters of print and electronic media may be allowed to see the order? Yes.

² CWP No. 5090 of 2022, decided alongwith connected matters on 19.12.2022



Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner would be content in case the respondents/competent authority(s) are directed to consider and decide the aforesaid representation in accordance with law within a fixed-time schedule. Learned counsel for the respondents is not averse to this prayer.

4. Having regard to the afore-submissions, but without examining the merits of the matter, this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents/competent authority to consider and decide the aforesaid representation of the petitioner in accordance with law as well as taking into consideration the above judgment in the case of ***Umesh Jaswal²*** within a period of six weeks from today. The decision so arrived at shall also be communicated to the petitioner.

The writ petition stands disposed of in the above terms, so also the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any.

October 15, 2025
R.Atal

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge