



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH,
SHIMLA**

**CWP No. 16080/2025
Decided on: 14.10.2025**

Des Raj

...Petitioner

Versus

State of H.P. & others

....Respondents

.....
Coram

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?¹

For the petitioner : Ms. Ranjana Pathania, Advocate.

**For the respondents : Mr. Y.P.S. Dhaulta, Additional
Advocate General.**

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, J

Notice. Mr. Y.P.S. Dhaulta, learned Additional Advocate General, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

2. Petitioner's grievance is that his representation Annexure P-2 dated 18.09.2025 annexed with this writ petition, seeking applicability of **Madan Lal versus State of H.P and others.**² has not been decided till date by the respondents/competent authority. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner would be content, in case, respondents/competent authority are directed to consider and decide the aforesaid representation of the petitioner, in accordance with law, within a time bound manner. Learned Additional Advocate General has no objection to this prayer.

¹ Whether reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? yes

²CWP No. 3341 of 2019, decided on 04.09.2021.



Having regard to the above submissions, but without examining the merits of the matter, this writ petition is disposed of by directing the respondents/competent authority to consider and decide the aforesaid representation of the petitioner, in accordance with law and as well as taking into consideration the above judgment in case of *Madan Lal*², within a period of six weeks from today. The order so passed be also communicated to the petitioner. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

14th October, 2025 (yogesh)