



2025:HHC:34656

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No.16082 of 2025

Decided on: 14th October, 2025

Onkar Singh

.....**Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and others

.....**Respondents**

Coram

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua

Whether approved for reporting?¹

For the Petitioner: Ms. Ranjana Pathania, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. Y.P.S. Dhaulta, Additional Advocate
General.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge

Notice. Mr. Y.P.S. Dhaulta, learned Additional
Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on
behalf of the respondents.

2. With the consent of learned counsel for the
parties, the matter is heard at this stage.

3. Petitioner's grievance is that his representation
dated 17.09.2025 (Annexure P-2) seeking applicability of
Madan Lal Sharma Versus State of Himachal Pradesh
and another² has not been decided till date by the
respondents/competent authority. Learned counsel for the

¹ Whether reporters of print and electronic media may be allowed to see the order? Yes.

² CWP No.3341 of 2019, decided on 04.09.2021



petitioner submitted that the petitioner would be content in case the respondents/competent authority(s) are directed to consider and decide the aforesaid representation in accordance with law within a fixed-time schedule. Learned Additional Advocate General is not averse to this prayer.

4. Having regard to the afore-submissions, but without examining the merits of the matter, this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents/competent authority to consider and decide the aforesaid representation of the petitioner dated 17.09.2025 (Annexure P-2) in accordance with law as well as taking into consideration the above judgment in the case of ***Madan Lal Sharma***² within a period of six weeks from today. The decision so arrived at shall also be communicated to the petitioner.

The writ petition stands disposed of in the above terms, so also the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any.

October 14, 2025
Mukesh

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge