

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No. 16209/2026
Decided on: 18.09.2026

Ram Rattan

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of H.P. & Ors.

.....Respondents

Coram

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua

Whether approved for reporting?¹

For the Petitioner: Mr. R.K. Dogra, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. Y.P.S. Dhaulta, Additional Advocate
General.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge

Notice. Mr. Y.P.S. Dhaulta, learned Additional
Advocate General, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

2. Petitioner's grievance is that his representation dated
23.10.2025 (Annexure P-3) seeking applicability of **Sanjay Kumar
Versus State of H.P. & Ors.**² has not been decided till date by
the respondents/ competent authority. Learned counsel for the
petitioner submitted that the petitioner would be content in case
the respondents/competent authority(s) are directed to consider
and decide the aforesaid representation in accordance with law

¹Whether reporters of print and electronic media may be allowed to see the order? Yes.

² CWPOA No. 5536/2020, decided alongwith connected matter on 01.11.2023

within a time bound manner. Learned Additional Advocate General has no objection to this prayer.

Having regard to the above submissions, but without examining the merits of the matters, this writ petition is disposed of by directing the respondents/ competent authority to consider and decide the aforesaid representation of the petitioner, in accordance with law as well as taking into consideration the above judgment, within a period of six weeks from today. The order so passed be also communicated to the petitioner. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

18th September, 2026
rohit