

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA****CWP No.16243 of 2025****Date of Decision: 15.10.2025****Sunita Devi****.....Petitioner****Versus****State of Himachal Pradesh & Ors.****.....Respondents****Coram****Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sandeep Sharma, Judge.****Whether approved for reporting?****For the Petitioner:** Ms. Tim Saran Sharma, Advocate.**For the respondents:** Mr. Anup Rattan, Advocate General, Mr. Rajan Kahol & Mr. Vishal Panwar, Additional Advocates General with Mr. Ravi Chauhan, Deputy Advocate General.**Sandeep Sharma, J.** *(Oral)*

Before reply, if any, from the respondents could be received, learned counsel representing the petitioner, on instructions, states that petitioner would be content and satisfied in case directions are issued to the respondents to consider the representation of the petitioner in light of judgment rendered by Coordinate Bench of this Court in CWP No.1638 of 2024 titled ***Mohit Sharma & Anr. Vs. State of Himachal Pradesh & Ors.***, wherein issue raised in the instant proceedings already stands adjudicated.

2. While putting in appearance on behalf of respondents, Mr. Vishal Panwar, learned Additional Advocate General, fairly states that he is not averse to aforesaid innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioner.



3. Consequently, in view of the above, this Court, without going into the merits of the case, deems it fit to dispose of the present petition with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the representation of the petitioner (*Annexure P-4*) in light of **Mohit Sharma** (*supra*), expeditiously, preferably within a period of six weeks from today. Ordered accordingly. Needless to say, authority concerned, while doing the needful in terms of instant order, shall afford an opportunity of being heard to the petitioner and pass speaking order thereafter. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

October 15, 2025
(*sunil*)

(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge