

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No.16011 of 2025

Date of Decision:13.10.2025

Naresh Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

Himachal Road Transport Corporation & another ... Respondents

Coram:

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sandeep Sharma, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting? ¹

For the Petitioner: Mr. Sat Prakash, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. Raman Jamalta, Advocate.

Sandeep Sharma, Judge(oral):

Learned counsel representing the petitioner, on instructions, states that the petitioner's case is squarely covered by the judgment dated 17.07.2014 passed by Division Bench of this Court in CWP No.3050 of 2014, tilted **Nek Ram vs. State of Himachal Pradesh and others** and as such, he would be content and satisfied in case directions are issued to the respondents to consider and decide the representation (Annexure P-2) of the petitioner in light of aforesaid judgment, in a time bound manner.

2. Mr. Raman Jamalta, Advocate, while putting appearance on behalf of the respondents, fairly states that he is not averse to aforesaid innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioner.

¹ Whether the reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?

3. Consequently, in view of the above, this Court without going into the merits of the case deems it fit to dispose of the present petition with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the representation (Annexure P-2) of the petitioner expeditiously, preferably within a period of four weeks in light of **Nek Ram's** case (supra). Ordered accordingly. Needless to say, authority concerned, while doing the needful in terms of instant order, shall afford an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and pass a speaking order thereupon. Liberty is reserved to the petitioner to file appropriate proceedings in appropriate court of law, if he still remains aggrieved. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

**(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge**

October 13, 2025
(shankar)