

Ranjana vs. Pawan Kumar

FAO(FC) No.63 of 2024

25.11.2025 Present : Mr. Devender K. Sharma, Advocate, for the appellant.

FAO(FC) No.63 of 2024

As per report of Registry, dasti notice has been received back unserved with the report that respondent was not present at home at that time and his mother refused to accept the notice on his behalf.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that respondent is husband of the appellant and both are residing under one roof along the mother of the respondent, who is mother-in-law of the appellant. However, respondent is deliberately avoiding his service in connivance with his mother, because a couple of days prior to filing the petition for maintenance by the appellant, respondent has relinquished his share in the property in favour of his mother.

In aforesaid circumstances, as per provisions of CPC also, the service of notice upon the respondent is deemed to have been effected and accordingly, for absence of respondent, he is proceeded *ex parte*.

List for consideration on **30.03.2025**.

CMP No.24343 of 2024

Order dated 24.12.2024 passed in this application, staying the operation and execution of impugned order dated

29.10.2024 passed in CMA No.54 of 2024 in Main Petition No.204 of 2022 (*Pawan vs. Ranjana*), is made absolute during the pendency of the appeal.

Application stands disposed of.

CMP No.13465 of 2025

In view of the order passed in main appeal, this application has become infructuous and disposed of accordingly.

(Vivek Singh Thakur)
Judge

(Romesh Verma)
Judge

25th November, 2025
(*Pardeep*)