



IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

2025:HHC:34865

CWP No.16134 of 2025

Decided on: 15th October, 2025

Amit Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of H.P. and others

.....Respondents

Coram

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua

Whether approved for reporting?¹

For the Petitioner: Mr. M.A. Safee, Mr. Pranav Kaushal
and Mr. Sameer Miyan, Advocates.

For the Respondents: Mr. Y.P.S. Dhaulta, Additional
Advocate General.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge

Notice. Mr. Y.P.S. Dhaulta, learned Additional
Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on
behalf of the respondents.

2. With the consent of learned counsel for the
parties, the matter is heard at this stage.

3. Petitioner seeks applicability of ***Mohit Sharma
& Anr. Versus State of H.P. & Ors.***². It is, however, seen
from the record that the petitioner has not preferred any

¹ Whether reporters of print and electronic media may be allowed to see the order? Yes.

² CWP No.1638 of 2024, decided on 29.11.2024



representation for the redressal of his grievances raised in the writ petition.

4. Confronted with above, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner would be preferring a representation within two weeks from today. In case such a representation is so made, the same shall be decided by the competent authority in accordance with law as well as taking into consideration the above judgment in ***Mohit Sharma²*** within a period of six weeks thereafter. The order so passed shall also be communicated to the petitioner.

The writ petition stands disposed of in the above terms, so also the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any.

October 15, 2025
Mukesh

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge