

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No.16245 of 2025

Date of Decision: 16.10.2025

Ranjeet Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board Limited & Anr.

... Respondents

Coram:

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sandeep Sharma, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting? ¹

For the Petitioner: Mr. Sohail Khan, proxy counsel vice Ms. Babita Chauhan, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Ms. Vandana Misra, Advocate.

Sandeep Sharma, Judge(oral):

Learned counsel representing the petitioner, on instructions, states that the petitioner's case is squarely covered by the judgment dated 16.04.2025 passed by Hon'ble Apex Court in SLP (C) No.10719-10720 of 2025, titled **The Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board Limited and another vs. Nanak Chand and others**, and as such, he would be content and satisfied in case directions are issued to the respondents to consider and decide the case of the petitioner in light of aforesaid judgment, in a time bound manner.

¹ Whether the reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?

2. Ms. Vandana Misra, Advocate, while putting appearance on behalf of the respondents, fairly states that she is not averse to aforesaid innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioner.

3. Consequently, in view of the above, this Court without going into the merits of the case deems it fit to dispose of the present petition with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the case of the petitioner expeditiously, preferably within a period of six weeks in light of **Nanak Chand** case (supra). Ordered accordingly. Needless to say, authority concerned, while doing the needful in terms of instant order, shall afford an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and pass a speaking order thereupon. Liberty is reserved to the petitioner to file appropriate proceedings in appropriate court of law, if he still remains aggrieved. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

**(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge**

October 16, 2025
(shankar)