



IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

Cr. Revision No. 605 of 2026

Date of Decision: 16.09.2026.

Bimla Devi ...Petitioner

Versus

Mansa Devi & Another ...Respondent

Coram

Hon'ble Mr Justice Rakesh Kainthla, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?¹ No

For the Petitioner : Mr. S.D. Gill, Advocate.
For Respondent No.1 : Mr. Narendra Guleria, Advocate.
For Respondent No.2 : Mr. Jitender Sharma, Additional
Advocate General.

Rakesh Kainthla, Judge (Oral)

It has been stated on behalf of the respondent/complainant that the matter has been reconciled between the parties.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has produced the receipt dated 16.09.2026, issued by Member Secretary, H.P. Legal Services Authority, Kasumpti, Shimla, regarding the deposit of ₹22,500/- being the 7.5% of the cheque amount of ₹3,00,000/-, which is taken on record.

¹ Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes.

3. Learned counsel for the respondent/complainant, under instructions, submits that the matter has been reconciled between the parties and he has instructions not to press the complaint.

4. In view of this statement, the present revision is allowed and the judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 25.09.2024, passed by learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Court No.1, Mandi, District Mandi, H.P. in Case No. 607 of 2018, titled Mansa Devi Vs. Bimla Devi as affirmed by learned Sessions Judge, Mandi, District Mandi, HP in Criminal Appeal No. 126 of 2024, dated 06.03.2025, titled Bimla Devi Vs. Mansa Devi & Ors are ordered to be set aside and the complaint is dismissed as not pressed.

5. Learned counsel for the respondent submits that an amount of ₹1,80,000/- has been deposited in the Court of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Mandi, District Mandi, H.P. The money be disbursed to the respondent/complainant as per the terms and conditions settled between the parties alongwith up to date interest. It has been stated that no other amount has

been deposited; hence no other order is required to be passed regarding release of the amount.

6. The petitioner is stated to be in judicial custody and he is presently lodged in Sub Jail Mandi, District Mandi, HP. She is ordered to be released forthwith, if not required in any other case. Release warrants be prepared accordingly and the same be sent to the Superintendent, Sub Jail Mandi, District Mandi, HP, through FASTER forthwith for necessary compliance.

7. In view of the above, the present revision is disposed of, so also the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any.

(Rakesh Kainthla)
Judge

16th September, 2026
(Nikita)