



2025:HHC:34563

Parjeet Singh & anr. Vs. State of H.P and anr.

Cr.MMO No. 393 of 2024

26.09.2025

Present: Mr. Hemant Sharma, Advocate, vice Mr. Sunny Rawat, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Tejasvi Sharma, Mr. H.S. Rawat, Additional A.G., with Mr. Rohit Sharma and Ms. Ranjna Patial, Deputy A.G., for respondent No.1 and 2/State.

None for respondents No. 3 & 4.

In view of the request made by learned vice Counsel for the petitioner, list after eight weeks.

(Virender Singh)
Judge

26th September, 2025
(g.m)



2025:HHC:34563

Jagdish Chander Sharma Vs. State of H.P and Ors.

Cr.MMO No. 459 of 2022

26.09.2025

Present: Mr. Rajnish K. Lal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Tejasvi Sharma, Mr. H.S. Rawat, Additional A.G., with Mr. Rohit Sharma and Ms. Ranjna Patial, Deputy A.G., for respondent No.1 to 3/State.

Mr. Vishwa Bhushan, Advocate, for respondent No.4 (since deceased)

For consideration, list on 06.10.2025.

(Virender Singh)
Judge

26th September, 2025 (g.m)



2025:HHC:34563

Dalip Kumar Vs. State of H.P and Ors.

Cr.MMP(M) No. 2399 of 2025

26.09.2025

Present: Mr. Abhishek Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Tejasvi Sharma, Mr. H.S. Rawat, Additional A.G., with Mr. Rohit Sharma and Ms. Ranjna Patial, Deputy A.G., for the respondent /State.

Notice. Ms. Ranjna Patial, learned Deputy Advocate General, waives service of notice on behalf of the respondent/State.

Status report be filed, on or before the next date of hearing.

List on 06.10.2025.

(Virender Singh)
Judge

26th September, 2025 (g.m)



2025:HHC:34563

Lal Deen Vs. State of H.P

Cr.MMP(M) No. 2397 of 2025

26.09.2025

Present: Ms. Narvada Kashyap, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Tejasvi Sharma, Mr. H.S. Rawat, Additional A.G., with Mr. Rohit Sharma and Ms. Ranjna Patial, Deputy A.G., for the respondent /State.

Notice. Ms. Ranjna Patial, learned Deputy Advocate General, waives service of notice on behalf of the respondent/State.

Status report be filed, on or before the next date of hearing.

List on 10.10.2025.

**(Virender Singh)
Judge**

26th September, 2025 (g.m)



2025:HHC:34563

Sujeet Vs. State of H.P

Cr.MMP(M) No. 2394 of 2025

26.09.2025

Present: Mr. Yug Singhal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Tejasvi Sharma, Mr. H.S. Rawat, Additional A.G., with Mr. Rohit Sharma and Ms. Ranjna Patial, Deputy A.G., for the respondent /State.

Notice. Ms. Ranjna Patial, learned Deputy Advocate General, waives service of notice on behalf of the respondent/State.

Status report be filed, on or before the next date of hearing.

List on 10.10.2025.

**(Virender Singh)
Judge**

26th September, 2025 (g.m)



2025:HHC:34563

Anant Ram Vs. State of H.P

Cr.MMP(M) No. 2331 of 2025

26.09.2025

Present: Mr. Rohan Tomar and Mr. Bhanu Pratap
Singh Thakur, Advocates, for the applicant.

Mr. Tejasvi Sharma, Mr. H.S. Rawat,
Additional A.G., with Mr. Rohit Sharma and
Ms. Ranjna Patial, Deputy A.G., assisted by ASI
Sukh Dev, Police Station Barsar, District
Hamirpur, H.P., for the respondents.

Status report filed. Be taken on record. Copy
supplied.

List on 10.10.2025 for consideration.

Interim order to continue.

(Virender Singh)
Judge

26th September, 2025 (g.m)



2025:HHC:34563

Pankaj Sharma Vs. CBI and anr.

Cr.MMP(M) No. 2330 of 2025

26.09.2025

Present: Mr. Peeyush Verma, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Ajay Kumar, Advocate, for the applicant.

Mr. Janesh Mahajan, Advocate, for respondent No.1.

Reply not filed. Be filed on or before the next date of hearing.

List on 06.10.2025.

(Virender Singh)
Judge

26th September, 2025 (g.m)



2025:HHC:34563

Nitin Vs. State of H.P

Cr.MMP(M) No. 2320 of 2025

26.09.2025

Present: Mr. Kunal Thakur, Advocate, for the applicant.

Mr. Tejasvi Sharma, Mr. H.S. Rawat,
Additional A.G., with Mr. Rohit Sharma and
Ms. Ranjna Patial, Deputy A.G., assisted by ASI
Sandeep, Police Station Jubbal, District
Shimla, H.P., for the respondent/State.

Respondent No.2 in person.

In pursuance of the notice issued from this
Court, respondent No.2 has put appearance and stated
that she does not want to engage the private counsel
and her case be pleaded by learned Additional Advocate
General.

Status report filed. Be taken on record.

Heard. Order reserved.

Record retained.

(Virender Singh)
Judge

26th September, 2025 (g.m)



2025:HHC:34563

Vikas Kumar Vs. State of H.P

Cr.MMP(M) No. 2321 of 2025

26.09.2025

Present: Mr. Ashok K. Tyagi, Advocate, for the applicant.

Mr. Tejasvi Sharma, Mr. H.S. Rawat, Additional A.G., with Mr. Rohit Sharma and Ms. Ranjna Patial, Deputy A.G., assisted by ASI Jagat Pal, Police Station Kangra, H.P., for the respondent/State.

Status report filed. After hearing for some time, learned Additional Advocate General is directed to place on record uncertified copies of zimni orders passed by the trial Court on or before the next date of hearing.

List on 06.10.2025

(Virender Singh)
Judge

26th September, 2025 (g.m)



2025:HHC:34563

Gurjent Singh Vs. State of H.P

Cr.MMP(M) No. 2322 of 2025

26.09.2025

Present: Mr. Panku Chaudhary, Advocate, for the applicant.

Mr. Tejasvi Sharma, Mr. H.S. Rawat, Additional A.G., with Mr. Rohit Sharma and Ms. Ranjna Patial, Deputy A.G., assisted by ASI Keshav Ram, Police Station Aut, District Mandi, H.P., for the respondent/State.

Status report filed. Be taken on record. Copy supplied.

List on 06.10.2025 for consideration.

**(Virender Singh)
Judge**

26th September, 2025 (g.m)



2025:HHC:34563

Rupinderjit Kaur Vs. State of H.P

Cr.MMP(M) No. 2324 of 2025

26.09.2025

Present: Mr. Manish Dhatwalia, Advocate, for the applicant.

Mr. Tejasvi Sharma, Mr. H.S. Rawat, Additional A.G., with Mr. Rohit Sharma and Ms. Ranjna Patial, Deputy A.G for the respondent/State.

Status report filed. Be taken on record. Copy supplied.

List on 10.10.2025 for consideration.

(Virender Singh)
Judge

26th September, 2025 (g.m)



2025:HHC:34563

Gurjent Singh Vs. State of H.P

Cr.MMP(M) No. 2322 of 2025

26.09.2025

Present: Mr. Panku Chaudhary, Advocate, for the applicant.

Mr. Tejasvi Sharma, Mr. H.S. Rawat, Additional A.G., with Mr. Rohit Sharma and Ms. Ranjna Patial, Deputy A.G., assisted by ASI Keshav Ram, Police Station Aut, District Mandi, H.P., for the respondent/State.

Status report filed. Be taken on record. Copy supplied.

List on 06.10.2025 for consideration.

**(Virender Singh)
Judge**

26th September, 2025 (g.m)



2025:HHC:34563

There are certain arguable points involved in the present petition, as such, notice be issued to the respondent, on steps being taken within a week, returnable for the next date of hearing. List on 30.12.2024, before appropriate Bench.

5. Records of the learned trial Court, as well as, the learned First Appellate Court be requisitioned for the next date of hearing. Deepak Kumar Vs State of H.P and anr.
Cr.MP(M) No. 505 of 2025

22.09.2025

Present: Mr. Divya Raj Singh, Advocate, for the applicant.

Mr. H.S.Rawat, Additional A.G., with
Mr. Rohit Sharma and Ms. Ranjna Patial,
Deputy A.G., for the respondent No. 1/State.

Although in compliance to the direction of this Court, affidavit of Additional Chief Secretary (Home) Government of Himachal Pradesh has been filed. However, without advertng to the status report which have been filed in other cases, the Additional Chief Secretary (Home) Government of Himachal Pradesh is directed to file fresh affidavit with regard to the compliance of the directions of this Court on or before the next date of hearing.

List on 18.12.2025

(Virender Singh)
Judge



2025:HHC:34563

22nd September, 2025_(g.m)



2025:HHC:34563

Mamta Thapa Vs. Anuj Katoch

Cr. MP(M) No. 2373 of 2025

26.09.2025

Present: Mr. Umesh Kanwar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

There are certain arguable points involved in the present petition, as such, notice of the petition be issued to respondent returnable for 06.10.2025.

Short date is given on the request of learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner.

**(Virender Singh)
Judge**

26th September, 2025 (g.m)



2025:HHC:34563

Meenakshi Jain and anr. Vs. State of HP and ors.

Cr. MMO No. 988 of 2024

26.09.2025

Present: Mr. Rajnish Maniktala, Sr. Advocate, with Mr. Dinkar Bhaskar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Tejasvi Sharma, Mr. H.S. Rawat, Additional A.G., with Mr. Rohit Sharma and Ms. Ranjna Patial, Deputy A.G. for the respondent/State.

Cr.MP No. 4381 of 2025

Notice. Ms. Ranjna Patial, learned Deputy Advocate General, waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents/State.

Reply, if any, to the application, on behalf of respondents/State, be filed, by or before the next date of hearing.

List on 13.10.2025.

**(Virender Singh)
Judge**

26th September, 2025 (g.m)



2025:HHC:34563

Pawan Kumar Vs. Satish Kumar Gupta

Cr. Revision No. 574 of 2025

26.09.2025

Present: Ms. Archana Dutt, Advocate, for the appellant.

Since, there are certain arguable points, involved in this case, as such, notice of the Criminal Revision be issued to the respondent for 22.11.2025. Steps be taken within a period of seven days.

Cr.MP No.4356 of 2025

2. By way of the present application, the petitioner/applicant has sought the suspension of order of sentence dated 05.12.2024, passed by the Court of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Chamba, District Chamba, H.P. (hereinafter referred to as the 'trial Court'), in Complaint 138 of N.I Act No. 96 of 2019, titled as, 'Satish Kumar Gupta Vs Pawan Kumar'.

3. Vide judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 4/5.12.2024, the learned trial Court has convicted the petitioner for the offence punishable under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 (hereinafter referred to as the 'NI Act') and sentenced him to undergo simple imprisonment for a period of six months and to pay a compensation of Rs. 1,50,000/-.



4. Aggrieved from the said judgment, petitioner has preferred Criminal Appeal No. 1 of 2025, titled as ‘Pawan Kumar Vs Satish Kumar’, before the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge, Chamba, District Chamba, (hereinafter referred to as the ‘Appellate Court’), which has been dismissed, vide judgment dated 11.07.2025.

5. Now, the petitioner is before this Court by way of the present Criminal Revision, which is likely to take sufficient long time, for its disposal, as such, during the pendency of the present Criminal Revision, the order of sentence dated 05.12.2025, passed by the learned trial Court, is ordered to be suspended, subject to the following conditions:

(i) That the applicant shall furnish personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/-, along with one surety of the like amount, to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court, within a period of four weeks from today, with an undertaking that he will surrender before the learned trial Court to serve the remainder substantive sentence, in case of ultimate dismissal of the present revision petition, by this Court;

(ii) That the applicant shall deposit 30% of the total amount of compensation, which has been referred to by the learned trial Court as fine, with the learned trial Court, within a period of six weeks from today, which shall be in addition to the amount, if not, already deposited by the applicant.

(iii) The applicant shall not leave the territory of India without the prior permission of the Court.



2025:HHC:34563

6. Application is, thus, disposed of.

A copy of this order be sent to the learned trial Court, with a direction that the report of compliance of this order be submitted to this Court.

(Virender Singh)
Judge

26th September, 2025 (g.m)



2025:HHC:34563

Pushpender Singh Chauhan. Vs. State of HP and Anr

Cr. MMO No. 947 of 2025

26.09.2025

Present: Mr. Shriyek Sharda, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Tejasvi Sharma, Mr. H.S. Rawat, Additional A.G., with Mr. Rohit Sharma and Ms. Ranjna Patial, Deputy A.G. for the respondent/State.

Cr.MMO No. 947 of 2025

Notice. Ms. Ranjna Patial, learned Deputy Advocate General, waives service of notice on behalf of respondent No.1/State. Issue notice to respondent No.2 returnable for 10.11.2025 on taking steps within seven days.

Cr.MP No. 4376 of 2025

Learned counsel, appearing for the petitioners on instructions, stated that he does not press the application.

Accordingly, the application stands dismissed, being not pressed.

Cr.MP(M) No. 4377 of 2025

For the reasons stated therein, the application is allowed. The applicant is exempted from filing the translated copy of documents in issue, at this stage. However, applicant will do the needful, as and when directed to do so.

(Virender Singh)
Judge

26th September, 2025 (g.m)



2025:HHC:34563

Amit Sharma Vs Surinder Singh

Cr. Appeal No. 600 of 2024

26.09.2025

Present: Mr. Abhinav, Advocate, vice Mr. Surinder
Saklani, Advocate for the appellant. .

Mr. Abhinav Chopra, Advocate, for the
respondent.

Admit.

Respondent, through his counsel, is directed
to furnish bail bonds in the sum of Rs.30,000/- with
one surety in the like amount, to the satisfaction of the
learned trial Court, within four weeks, by giving a
solemn undertaking to surrender before this Court or
before the learned trial Court, in case of ultimate
acceptance of the appeal, and that he shall not leave the
country, without the prior permission of the Court.

(Virender Singh)
Judge

26th September, 2025 (g.m)



2025:HHC:34563

Ramesh Chand Vs Ravinder Kumar

**Cr.MP No. 4365 of 2025 in Cr. Revision
No. 137 of 2025**

26.09.2025

Present: Mr. Goldy Kumar, Advocate, for the
appellant.

Ms. Shaine Shegal, Advocate, vice Mr. Sumesh
Raj, Advocate, for the respondent.

By way of the present application, indulgence
of this Court has been sought, to extend the time to
comply with order dated 19.06.2025, passed in Cr.
Revision No. 137 of 2025.

In view of the averments made in the
application, the application is allowed and let the order
dated 19.06.2025 be complied with within a period of
seven days.

Accordingly, the application stands disposed
of.

**(Virender Singh)
Judge**

26th September, 2025 (g.m)



2025:HHC:34563

Hitender Singh Vs. Dalip Singh.

Cr. MP(M) No. 2374 of 2025

26.09.2025

Present: Mr. L.S. Mehta, Advocate, for the appellant.

Cr. MP(M) No. 2374 of 2025

By way of the present application, the applicant, who is complainant, in this case, has sought the indulgence of this Court to grant leave to appeal.

In view of the decision of the Hon'ble Apex Court in ***Criminal Appeal Nos. 1868-1870 of 2025***, titled as ***Celestium Financial*** versus ***A. Gnanasekaran & Others***, there is no legal requirement, for the applicant, to seek special leave to appeal. As such, the application is disposed of accordingly.

Cr. Appeal No..... of 2025

Be registered.

Issue notice to the respondent returnable for 14.11.2025. Steps be taken within a period of seven days.

(Virender Singh)
Judge

26th September, 2025 (g.m)