

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

**COPC No. 1160 of 2025
Date of Decision: 7.11.2025**

Narain Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Nipun Jindal and Ors.

.....Respondents

Coram

**Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sandeep Sharma, Judge.
Whether approved for reporting?**

For the Petitioner: Mr. Ashok Kumar and Mr. Rakesh Kumar,
Advocates.

For the Respondents: Mr. Rahul Gathania, Advocate.

Sandeep Sharma, J. *(Oral)*

By way of present contempt petition, prayer has been made by the petitioner for initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondents for their having willfully and intentionally disobeyed the directions contained in order/judgment dated 27.12.2024 passed in CWP No. 14755 of 2024, titled as **Narain Singh v. Himachal Road Transport Corporation and Anr.**, whereby coordinate Bench of this Court disposed of the petition with direction to the competent authority to consider and decide pending representation of the petitioner within eight weeks. Since despite repeated requests, aforesaid direction never came to be complied

with, petitioner is compelled to approach this Court in the instant proceedings.

2. Mr. Rahul Gathania, Advocate, appearing for the respondents, submits that though he has every reason to presume that by now, judgment alleged to have been violated must have been complied with in its totality, but if not, same would be positively complied with within a period of two weeks from today.

3. Consequently, in view of the fair stand adopted by the learned counsel for the respondents, this Court sees no reason to keep the present petition alive and accordingly, same is closed. However, respondents-contemnors are directed to do the needful in terms of judgment alleged to have been violated within a period of two weeks, failing which they would aggravate the contempt and petitioner would be at liberty to get the present petition revived so that appropriate action in accordance with law is taken against the erring officials.

November 7, 2025

(manjit)

**(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge**