

Vishwa Mohan Dev Chauhan Vs. State of H.P.

Cr. MP(M) No. 2392 of 2015

25.9.2025

Present: M/s Hirdaya Ram and Suresh Singh Saini,
Advocates, for the petitioner.

Mr. Jitender Sharma, Additional Advocate General,
for respondent No.1-State.

Notice. Mr. Jitender Sharma, learned Additional
Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on
behalf of the respondent-State. He filed a copy of FIR and has
sought time to file detailed status report.

Heard.

Copy of FIR shows that the petitioner had raped
the victim on 10.8.2025. The victim cried and the petitioner
promised to marry her. He called the victim to rest house on
20.8.2025, where he again raped her. He video-recorded the
incident and threatened to make it viral. The victim made a
complaint to the police.

Prima facie, there is no reason to disbelieve the
allegations made by the petitioner. It was laid down by Hon'ble
Supreme Court in *X vs. State of Maharashtra and another 2023*
SCC OnLine SC 279 that pre-arrest bail should not be granted in
a rape case. Therefore, there is insufficient material to grant
interim pre-arrest bail.

Let status report, as prayed, be filed on **26.9.2025**.

(Rakesh Kainthla)
Judge

25th September, 2025
(Chander)