

Mool Chand and Others Vs. State of Himachal Pradesh and Others.

Item No. (S-1)

CWPIL No.107 of 2025

13.10.2025

Present: Mr. Parikshit Kaushik, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Rakesh Dhaulta, Additional Advocate General, for respondents No.

Mr. Dhiraj Thakur, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

Inter alia contends that the stone crusher is being set-up in close vicinity of the village abadi-deh as such and is within the minimum distance has to be maintained 500 meters.

2. While referring to the notification dated 29.05.2014 (**Annexure P-2**) a reference has been made that the recommendation made by the Joint Inspection Committee (**Annexure P-3**), the distance criteria has been fulfilled is not correct on the basis of which, the consent to establish the stone crusher has been granted by the concerned authorities. Reference is also made to the reports (**Annexure P-5**) of the representation (**Annexure P-7**).

3. Issue Notice. Mr. Rakesh Dhaulta, learned Additional Advocate General and Mr. Dhiraj Thakur, learned counsel appears and waives service of notice on behalf of respondents No.1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7 & 9 and respondent No.3 respectively. They pray for time to file reply by the next date.

4. Issue notice to respondent No.8, returnable within four weeks, on taking steps within one week.

CMP No.24712 of 2025.

5. Notice. Re-stay.

CMP No.24713 of 2025.

6. The application stands disposed off with a direction to the respondents/non-applicants to place on record the translated copies of Annexures P-6 & P-7 by the next date.

CWPIL No.107 of 2025.

7. List on **17.11.2025.**

**(G.S. Sandhawalia)
Chief Justice**

**(Jiya Lal Bhardwaj)
Judge**

13th October, 2025
(munish thakur)