



IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

Execution Petition No.2173 of 2025

Date of Decision: 07.11.2025

Bishan Dutt		Petitioner
	Versus	
HRTC & Anr.		... Respondents

Coram:

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sandeep Sharma, Judge.Whether approved for reporting? ¹

For the Petitioner: Mr. Vijay Kumar, Advocate.**For the Respondents:** Mr. Rupesh Kumar, Advocate vice Mr. Rahul Thakur, Advocate.

Sandeep Sharma, Judge(oral):

By way of instant Execution Petition, prayer has been made on behalf of the petitioner for issuance of directions to the respondents for implementation and execution of the order/judgment dated 16.05.2025 passed by this Court in CWP No.7672 of 2025, titled as ***Bishan Dutt Vs. Himachal Road Transport Corporation & Anr.***

2. Careful perusal of aforesaid order/judgment, sought to be executed in the present proceedings, reveals that this Court, while disposing of the writ petition filed by the petitioner, directed the respondents/competent authority to pay due and admissible dearness relief to the petitioner in terms of office memorandums dated 07.02.2015 and 07.03.2020 within a period of six weeks. Since,

¹ Whether the reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?



despite there being specific direction to do the needful, as taken note herein above, respondents failed to comply with the judgment, petitioner has approached this Court in the instant proceedings.

3. Mr. Rupesh Kumar, Advocate, while accepting notice on behalf of the respondents, states that though he has every reason to believe and presume that by now aforesaid orders, sought to be executed, must have been complied with, but if not, same would be complied with within a period of six weeks from today.

4. Consequently, in view of the afore undertaking given by learned counsel for the respondents, this Court sees no reason to keep the present petition alive and as such, same is accordingly disposed of with the direction to the respondents to do the needful, positively within a period of six weeks from today, if not already done, failing which, petitioner would be at liberty to get the present proceedings revived, so that appropriate action, in accordance with law, is taken towards implementation of the judgment/ order, sought to be executed in the instant proceedings.

**(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge**

November 07, 2025
(sunil)