



2026:HHC:39457

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No.16072 of 2026

Decided on: 16th September, 2026

Karuna Devi and Anr

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of H.P. and others

.....Respondents

Coram

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua

Whether approved for reporting?¹

For the Petitioners: Mr. Aman Thakur, Advocate vice
Mr. Mohit Thakur, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. L.N. Sharma, Additional Advocate
General.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that this writ petition be treated to have been filed only on behalf of petitioner No.1. Learned counsel further submits that petitioner No. 2 be reserved liberty to seek appropriate remedy by filing separate writ petition for the redressal of his grievances raised in this writ petition. Prayer is accepted.

Accordingly, this writ petition shall be treated to have been filed to the extent prayed for. Petitioner No.2

¹Whether reporters of print and electronic media may be allowed to see the order? Yes.



shall be at liberty to file separate writ petition for the redressal of his grievances in accordance with law.

2. Petitioner seeks applicability of ***Ghanshyam Dass and Ors Vs. State of H.P. and Ors²***.

3. Learned Additional Advocate General has apprised that aforesaid decision has been stayed under order dated 17.08.2026 passed in LPA No. 205 of 2025 and other connected matters.

4. In view of above position, the relief in the nature claimed by the petitioner cannot be granted to him at this stage. This writ petition, therefore, is disposed of with direction to the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner within six weeks after the adjudication of LPA No. 205 of 2025 and in terms thereof.

With the above observations, this writ petition stands disposed of.

Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also to stand disposed of.

September 16, 2026
R.Atal

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

² CWP No. 2056 of 2023 decided alongwith connected matters on 21.03.2024