



IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

2025:HHC:35135

CWP No.16216 of 2025

Decided on: 16th October, 2025

Udham Singh

.....**Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and others

.....**Respondents**

Coram

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua

Whether approved for reporting?¹

For the Petitioner: Mr. Rajat Thakur, Advocate vice
Mr. A.K. Gupta, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. Y.P.S. Dhaulta, Additional
Advocate General, for respondents No.1
to 3.

Mr. Tek Ram Sharma, Advocate, for
respondent No.4.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge

Notice. Mr. Y.P.S. Dhaulta, learned Additional
Advocate General and Mr. Tek Ram Sharma, learned
counsel, appear and waive service of notice on behalf of
respondents No.1 to 3 and respondent No.4, respectively.

2. With the consent of learned counsel for the
parties, the matter is heard at this stage.

¹ Whether reporters of print and electronic media may be allowed to see the order? Yes.



3. Petitioner, aged 60 years, seeks applicability of ***Sunder Singh Versus The State of Himachal Pradesh & Ors.***² and ***Balo Devi & others Versus State of Himachal Pradesh and others***³. It is, however, seen from the record that the petitioner has not preferred any representation for the redressal of his grievances raised in the writ petition.

4. Confronted with above, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner would be preferring a representation within two weeks from today. In case such a representation is so made, the same shall be decided by the competent authority in accordance with law as well as taking into consideration the above judgments within a period of six weeks thereafter. The order so passed shall also be communicated to the petitioner.

The writ petition stands disposed of in the above terms, so also the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any.

October 16, 2025
Mukesh

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

² Civil Appeal No.6309 of 2017, decided on 08.03.2018

³ Civil Appeal No.4792 of 2022, decided on 18.07.2022