

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA**Cr. MMO No. 908 of 2025****Reserved on: 11.11.2025****Date of Decision: 20.11.2025**

Suresh Kumar**...Petitioner****Versus****State of H.P. and another****...Respondents**

Coram***Hon'ble Mr Justice Rakesh Kainthla, Judge.******Whether approved for reporting? No.*****For the petitioner** : Mr Vipin Bhatia, Advocate.**For respondent No.1** : Mr Lokender Kutlehria, Additional Advocate General.**For respondent No.2** : Mr Sarvedaman Rathore, Advocate.

Rakesh Kainthla, Judge

The petitioner has filed the present petition for quashing of FIR No. 34 of 2015¹, dated 13.5.2025², registered at Police Station Shimla (East), District Shimla, H.P., for the commission of an offence punishable under Sections 498-A of the Indian Penal Code (IPC) and consequential proceedings arising out of the said FIR pending before learned Additional Chief Judicial

¹ Corrected as (2015) in compliance of order dated 24.11.2025

² Corrected as (13.5.2015) in compliance of order dated 24.11.2025

Magistrate, Shimla based on the compromise effected between the parties.

2. It has been asserted that the parties have resolved their differences with the intervention of the members of their families. The petitioner and the informant were married in the year 2004. Two children are born to them. They are residing happily, and the informant/victim does not want to proceed further with the matter. Hence the petition.

3. The statement of the victim, Vandana Devi, was recorded on 7.10.2025, in which she stated that she had settled the matter with the petitioner. She is residing with him and does not want to proceed further with the matter after the compromise.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the records carefully.

5. The Courts have already quashed the FIR registered for the commission of an offence punishable under Section 498-A in *Gian Singh versus State, 2012 (10) SCC 303*, *Narender versus State of Punjab, 2014 (16) SCC 466*, *Vikas Singh vs. State of H.P.(2023)I DMC 335 (HP)* and *Sanjay Kumar vs. State of H.P. 2023 (1) Him L.R. 602*, which are binding upon this Court.

6. Therefore, in view of these precedents, the present petition is allowed and FIR No. 34 of 2015³, dated 13.5.2025⁴, registered at Police Station Shimla (East), District Shimla, H.P., for the commission of an offence punishable under Sections 498-A of the Indian Penal Code and consequential proceedings arising out of the said FIR pending before learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Shimla are quashed.

7. Petition stands disposed of in the above terms, so also pending miscellaneous applications, if any.

8. Parties are permitted to produce a copy of this judgment, downloaded from the webpage of the High Court of Himachal Pradesh, before the authorities concerned, and the said authorities shall not insist on the production of a certified copy, but if required, may verify passing of the order from the Website of the High Court.

(Rakesh Kainthla)
Judge

20th November 2025
(Chander)

³ Corrected as (2015) in compliance of order dated 24.11.2025

⁴ Corrected as (13.5.2015) in compliance of order dated 24.11.2025