

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

**COPC No. 1117 of 2025
Date of Decision: 7.11.2025**

Naresh Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

Suedesh Kumar Mokhta

.....Respondents

Coram

**Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sandeep Sharma, Judge.
Whether approved for reporting?**

For the Petitioner: Mr. Sanjeev Bhushan, Senior Advocate with Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Advocate.

For the Respondent: Mr. Rahul Gathania, Advocate.

Sandeep Sharma, J. *(Oral)*

Mr. Sanjeev Bhushan, learned Senior counsel appearing for the petitioner, fairly acknowledges factum with regard to compliance of the mandate contained in the judgment alleged to have been violated.

2. Consequently, in view of the above, present petition is disposed of. Notice discharged.

3. Before parting, this Court wishes to observe that vide judgment alleged to have been violated, petitioner herein was required to be given appointment within four weeks from the date of passing of judgment dated 20.5.2025, however fact remains that he has been offered appointment after three months and as such, respondent is expected to consider the

prayer made by the petitioner for release of salary for the period of three months.

November 7, 2025

(manjit)

**(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge**