

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA**

**Cr. Revision No. 556 of 2025**

**Date of Decision : 23.01.2026**

---

Satish Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

The Punjab National Bank and another

....Respondents

---

*Coram*

***Hon'ble Mr Justice Rakesh Kainthla, Judge.***

***Whether approved for reporting? <sup>1</sup>***

For the petitioner : Mr. Azmat Hayat Khan,  
Advocate.

For the respondents : Mr. K.K. Chauhan, Advocate, for  
respondent No.1.

Mr. Diwakar Dev Sharma,  
Additional Advocate General,  
for respondent No.2.

---

**Rakesh Kainthla, Judge (Oral)**

Two receipts of Rs.75,000/- and Rs.3,000/-, being a 15% of the cheque amount of Rs.25,17,831/- has been filed, which is taken on record.

2. Mr. K.K. Chauhan, learned counsel for respondent No.1 has submitted that the matter has been reconciled between the parties and respondent No.1 does not want to proceed further with the present matter because of the compromise between the parties.

---

<sup>1</sup> Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes.

3. In view of the statement, the present petition is allowed and judgment dated 05.09.2025, passed by learned Session Judge, Hamipur, H.P, in Criminal Appeal No. 152 of 2024, titled Satish Kumar Vs. The Punjab National Bank, affirming the judgment of conviction dated 12.11.2024, passed by learned Judicial Magistrate, First Class, Court No.II, Hamirpur, H.P., in Criminal Complaint No. 80-1/2018, titled The Punjab National Bank Vs. Satish Kumar and order of sentence dated 23.11.2024, are ordered to be set aside. The complaint is dismissed as not pressed.

4. It is stated that no money has been deposited before any Court. In view of this statement, no order is required to be passed regarding the disbursal of the money.

5. The present petition stands disposed of, so also the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any.

**(Rakesh Kainthla)**  
**Vacation Judge**

**23<sup>rd</sup> January, 2026**  
**(Shivank Thakur)**