

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

**Cr.MMO No. 1071 of 2025
Decided on 28.11.2025**

Neeraj Verma

....Petitioner

Versus

State of H.P. & others

....Respondents

Coram

Hon'ble Mr Justice Rakesh Kainthla, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting? No.

For the petitioner : Mr. Rakesh Thakur, Advocate.

**For the respondents : Mr. Ajit Sharma, Deputy Advocate
General, for respondent No.1**

Rakesh Kainthla, Judge (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner conceded that all the offences mentioned in the F.I.R are compoundable and he under the instructions submits that he wants to withdraw the present petition with liberty to approach the learned Trial Court.

2. The offences mentioned in the F.I.R. are compoundable and the petitioner has alternate and efficacious remedy to approach the learned Trial Court. Hence, the petitioner is permitted to withdraw the present petition so as to approach the learned Trial Court. Learned Counsel for the petitioner further submits that the original compromise deed annexed with the present petition be returned to him. The prayer is allowed. Registry is directed to return original

compromise deed to the petitioner under identification and after proper receipt as per rules. Ordered accordingly.

Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(Rakesh Kainthla)
Judge

28th November, 2025(ravinder)