

Nirmal Kumar Vs. Sushil Kumar Thakur & Ors.

COPC No. 832 of 2024

04.04.2025 Present: Mr. Nand Lal Thakur & Mr. Happy Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Rangil Singh, Advocate, for respondent No. 1.

Mr. Anup Rattan, Advocate General, Mr. Rajan Kahol, Mr. Vishal Panwar & Mr. B.C. Verma, Additional Advocate Generals, with Mr. Ravi Chauhan, Deputy Advocate General, for respondents No.2 & 3.

While placing on record communication dated 17.03.2025, issued under the signatures of Executive Engineer, Jal Shakti Division, Thunag, District Mandi, Himachal Pradesh, Mr. Vishal Panwar, learned Additional Advocate General, states that mandate contained in the judgment, alleged to have been violated, stands duly complied with.

Having perused aforesaid communication, which is taken on record, this Court finds that withheld amount of leave encashment to the tune of Rs.3,03,081/- was released on 17.03.2025, subject to final outcome of LPA.

While fairly acknowledging factum with regard to release of aforesaid amount, Mr. Nand Lal Thakur, learned counsel for the petitioner, states that GPF amount i.e. Rs. 1,95,000/- has not been paid.

Learned counsel for respondent No. 1/Accountant General is directed to have instructions “whether any amount was payable on account of GPF/ TDS? If yes, why same has not been released.” Similarly learned Additional Advocate General

may also have instructions that why interest of 9% is not payable to the petitioner on account of delay in payment.

List on 08.05.2025.

April 04, 2025
(sunil)

(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge