

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

COPC No.832 of 2024
Date of Decision: 13.10.2025

Nirmal Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

Sushil Kumar Thakur & Ors.

.....Respondents

Coram

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sandeep Sharma, Judge.
Whether approved for reporting?

For the Petitioner: Mr. Nand Lal Thakur, Advocate.

For the respondents: Mr. Rangil Singh, Advocate, for respondent No.1.

Mr. Anup Rattan, Advocate General, Mr. Rajan Kahol & Mr. Vishal Panwar, Additional Advocates General with Mr. Ravi Chauhan & Mr. Anish Banshtu, Deputy Advocates General, for the respondents-State.

Sandeep Sharma, J. (Oral)

Careful perusal of para 9 of reply to the supplementary affidavit reveals that mandate contained in the judgment, alleged to have been violated, stands duly complied with. As per afore reply, leave encashment amounting to Rs.7,42,770/- stands released to be petitioner and petitioner has been further paid interest of Rs.16,441/- w.e.f 10.08.2024 i.e. from the date passing of the judgment till order releasing the withheld amount i.e. 17.03.2025 for delay in payment in accordance with the direction of this Court in order dated 09.08.2024.

Aforesaid amount has been released to the petitioner, but subject to final decision in LPA No.350 of 2025, which is pending adjudication before Hon'ble Principal Division Bench of this Court.

2. In view of the above, no action of the respondents can be said to be contumacious, as such, present petition is disposed of. However, liberty is reserved to the petitioner to file appropriate proceedings in appropriate Court of law qua the surviving grievance, if any. Notice issued to the respondents are discharge.

October 13, 2025

(Sunil)

**(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge**