

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

Cr. MMO No. 929 of 2026

Date of Decision: 19.9.2026.

Daya Nand

...Petitioner

Versus

Verma Enterprises & anr.

...Respondents

Coram

Hon'ble Mr Justice Rakesh Kainthla, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?¹ No.

For the Petitioner : Mr. Mohan Singh, Advocate.

For the Respondent : Ms. Suhani Gautam, Advocate.

Rakesh Kainthla, Judge (Oral)

It has been stated on behalf of the respondent/complainant that the matter has been reconciled between the parties.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has produced the receipt dated 17.09.2026, issued by Member Secretary, H.P. Legal Services Authority, Kasumpti, Shimla, regarding the deposit of ₹60,000/- being the 15% of the cheque amount of ₹4,00,000/-, which is taken on record.

¹ Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes.

3. Learned counsel for the respondent/complainant, under instructions, submits that the matter has been reconciled between the parties and he has instructions not to press the complaint.

4. The record shows that the petitioner/accused was convicted and sentenced by learned Trial Court. The petitioner/accused unsuccessfully challenged his conviction and sentence before learned First Appellate Court and before this Court in Cr. Revision No. 99 of 2026 titled Daya Nand versus M/s Verma Enterprises and another.

5. The Division Bench of this Court held in *Chune Ram vs. Brikam Chand*, 2025:HHc:38122 decided on 13.11.2025 that the Court can quash the conviction even after its finalization in criminal revision based on the compromise effected between the parties. This judgment is binding upon this Court.

6. In view of this judgment, the present petition is allowed and the conviction and sentence awarded by the learned Trial Court as affirmed by learned Appellate Court and by this Court in Cr. Revision No. 99 of 2026 titled Daya Nand versus M/s

Verma Enterprises and another, decided on 2.7.2026 are ordered to be quashed.

7. Learned counsel for the respondent submits that an amount of ₹96,000/- has been deposited in the Court of learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Kandaghat, District Solan, H.P. The money be disbursed to the respondent/complainant as per the terms and conditions settled between the parties along with up to date interest. It has been stated that no other amount has been deposited; hence no other order is required to be passed regarding release of the amount.

8. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of, so also the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any.

(Rakesh Kainthla)
Judge

18th September, 2026
(Chander)