



IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

Execution Petition No.2097 of 2026

Date of Decision: 18.09.2026

Sanjay Verma

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Himachal Pradesh & Ors.

... Respondents

Coram:**Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sandeep Sharma, Judge.**Whether approved for reporting? ¹

For the Petitioner: Mr. P.D. Nanda, Advocate.**For the Respondents:** Mr. Rajan Kahol & Mr. Vishal Panwar,
Additional Advocates General with Mr. Ravi
Chauhan & Mr. Anish Banshtu, Deputy
Advocates General.

Sandeep Sharma, Judge(oral):

By way of instant Execution Petition, prayer has been made on behalf of the petitioner for issuance of directions to the respondents for implementation and execution of the order/judgment dated 12.05.2026 passed by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in CWP No.7347 of 2026, titled as ***Sanjay Verma Vs. State of Himachal Pradesh and others.***

2. Careful perusal of aforesaid order/judgment, sought to be executed in the present proceedings, reveals that Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, while disposing of the writ petition filed by the petitioner, directed that representation made by the petitioner shall be decided by the competent

¹ *Whether the reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*



authority in the light of averments made therein within twelve weeks by passing a speaking order in accordance with law. Since, despite there being specific direction to do the needful, as taken note herein above, respondents failed to comply with the judgment, petitioners have approached this Court in the instant proceedings.

3. Mr. Rajan Kahol, learned Additional Advocate General, while accepting notice on behalf of the respondents, states that though he has every reason to believe and presume that by now aforesaid orders, sought to be executed, must have been complied with, but if not, same would be complied with within a period of three weeks from today.

4. Consequently, in view of the afore undertaking given by learned Additional Advocate General, this Court sees no reason to keep the present petition alive and as such, same is accordingly disposed of with direction to the respondents to do the needful, positively within a period of three weeks from today, if not already done, failing which, petitioners would be at liberty to get the present proceedings revived, so that appropriate action, in accordance with law, is taken towards implementation of the judgment/ order, sought to be executed in the instant proceedings.

**(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge**

September 18, 2026
(sunil)