

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA**

Review Petition No. 79 of 2025

Date of decision : 26.11.2025.

Tata AIG General Insurance Co. Ltd. ...Petitioner.

Versus

Abhijeet Singh Thakur & another ...Respondents

*Coram:****The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Satyen Vaidya, Judge.****Whether approved for reporting?*¹

For the petitioner : Mr. Jagdish Thakur, Advocate

For the respondents : Mr. Sunil Kumar, Advocate, for
respondent No.1.

Satyen Vaidya, Judge (oral):

By way of instant petition, a prayer has been made for review the judgment dated 25.7.2025, passed by this Court in FAO (MVA) No. 310 of 2018, titled as, Tata AIG General Insurance Co. Ltd. vs. Abhijeet Singh Thakur.

2. The review has been sought on the ground that an error apparent on the face on record has appeared in the judgment, as the multiplier of 19 applied by the learned Tribunal has been upheld, whereas in terms of judgment passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Sarla Verma*** vs. ***Delhi Transport Corporation & another***, reported in (2009) **6 SCC 721**, the multiplier could not have been more than 18.

¹ **Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment?**



3. Learned counsel for respondent No.1 does not dispute the factual aspect, as highlighted by the review petitioner. Even otherwise, the error on the face of record has appeared because in the facts of instant case multiplier of 18 was to be applied instead of 19. Accordingly, the review petition is allowed. In para-16 of the judgment under review, the multiplier of 18 shall be read in place of 19 and accordingly the amount of dependency as calculated in the said para shall be Rs. $14,000 \times 12 \times 18 = 30,24,000/-$.

4. Similarly in para-22 of the judgment, multiplier of 18 shall be read in place of 19 and the compensation of attendant charges shall be Rs. $6000 \times 12 \times 18 = 12,96,000/-$ and the future medical expenses shall be computed as Rs. $4000 \times 12 \times 18 = \text{Rs. } 8,64,000/-$.

5. Accordingly, the computation made in para-31 of the judgment under review under heads 2, 7 and 9 shall stand accordingly modified as Rs. 30,24,000/-, 12,96,000/- and Rs. 8,64,000/- respectively and the total compensation shall be Rs. 78,72,000/-.

6. The judgment dated 25.7.2025, passed by this Court in FAO (MVA) No. 310 of 2018, titled as, Tata AIG



General Insurance Co. Ltd. vs. Abhijeet Singh Thakur is accordingly modified to the extent as held hereinabove.

7. The review petition is accordingly disposed of.

Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

26th November, 2025.
(kck)